# Translation

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**



## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)



Applicant's or agent's file reference H 4097/4648 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION		eation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No.	International filing date (day/n	nonth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP00/09011	15 September 2000 (1:	5.09.00)	24 September 1999 (24.09.99)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n C08G 18/40	ational classification and IPC		
Applicant HENKEL I	COMMANDITGESELLS	CHAFT AU	IF AKTIEN
This international preliminary example.  Authority and is transmitted to the a	mination report has been prep pplicant according to Article 36.	ared by this	International Preliminary Examining
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	5 sheets, including	ng this cover s	heet.
been amended and are the b		containing re	ion, claims and/or drawings which have ctifications made before this Authority the PCT).
These annexes consist of a t	total of sheets.		
3. This report contains indications rela	ting to the following items:		
I Basis of the report	:		
II Priority			
III Non-establishmen	t of opinion with regard to novel	ty, inventive s	step and industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity of in	vention		
V Reasoned statemen	nt under Article 35(2) with regar anations supporting such stateme	rd to novelty, i	nventive step or industrial applicability;
VI Certain documents	s cited		
VII Certain defects in	the international application		
VIII Certain observatio	ons on the international application	on	
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	f completion o	f this report
22 February 2001 (22.0	02.01)	02 Ja	anuary 2002 (02.01.2002)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Author	ized officer	
Facsimile No.	Teleph	one No.	

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIN

### RY EXAMINATION REPORT

Interna	nonai application No.
	PCT/EP00/09011

I. Basis of the report								
This report has been drawn under Article 14 are referred to	on the basis of (Replacement shee o in this report as "originally filed"	ts which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):						
the internationa	l application as originally filed.							
the description,	pages1-13	, as originally filed,						
	pages	_, filed with the demand,						
	pages	, filed with the letter of,						
	pages	, filed with the letter of						
the claims,	Nos1-11	, as originally filed,						
		_ , as amended under Article 19,						
	Nos.							
	Nos.	, filed with the letter of,						
·	Nos	, filed with the letter of						
the drawings,	sheets/fig	as originally filed						
	sheets/fig							
		, filed with the letter of,						
		, filed with the letter of						
2. The amendments have resul	ted in the cancellation of:							
	pages							
the claims,	Nos							
the drawings,	sheets/fig							
the drawings,	silects/fig	1 1						
3. This report has been to go beyond the disc	established as if (some of) the a	mendments had not been made, since they have been considered the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).						
to go objetia tile dise	nosaro as moa, as marcatea m a	te supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).						
4. Additional observations, if i	necessary:							

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCEP 00/09011

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	6-11	YES
	Claims	1-5	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	6-11	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

Novelty: US-A-4 668 360 (D1) describes water-dispersible coatings for electrophoretically deposited immersion paints, said coatings containing (a) an acryl polymer with OH and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> functionalities and (b) a blocked polyisocyanate. The latter may also be a prepolymer obtained by reacting a partially blocked polyisocyanate with an active hydrogen-containing compound (column 5, lines 61-66). The blocking agent is, *inter alia*, a lactam, phenol or oxim (column 6, lines 6-54). Representatives of the cycloaliphatic compounds are mentioned as blocked polyisocyanates in Example 1 (H<sub>12</sub>-MDI). Consequently, Claims 1-5 of the present application lack novelty over D1.

This type of aqueous coating compositions based on a polymer containing an active hydrogen functionality and blocked, aliphatic or cycloaliphatic polyisocyanate prepolymers ("paint polyisocyanates") is also known from US-A-5 034 435 (D2), EP-A-0 303 182 (D3) and DE-A-28 14 815 (D4). Consequently, D2-D4 are also detrimental to the novelty of Claims 1-5 of the present application (PCT Article 33(2)).

Inventive step: The problem addressed by the present

application is that of providing a coating system for stainless steel surfaces which satisfies particular requirements with regard to resistance against various agents, is dirt-repelling and scratch-resistant.

Polyurethane coatings of this type made of acryl polyols, epoxide resins or fluoro-resins and blocked polyisocyanates are known, for example, from JP-11 061 039 (cited in the search report as a Derwent Abstract AN-1999-232915 (D5)). These coatings are also applied to stainless steel plates of household appliances, for example, and are characterised by their weather-, dirt- and chemical-resistance. If that document is regarded as the closest prior art, the difference from the present application is that the coating is not applied in an aqueous solution.

The advantages of the use of aqueous systems are sufficiently known from the prior art and extensively described (see D1-D4), and are therefore obvious to a person skilled in the art. It is not clear from the application what technical problem is solved by the distinguishing feature in comparison with the closest prior art in D5. It is noted that the coatings in D5 are hardened in the same conditions as in the application (see Claim 7, last method step). Consequently, no inventive step can be recognised in the use and method Claims 6-11 (PCT Article 33(3)).

There are no objections to industrial applicability.